



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,903	02/26/2004	Thomas A. Gentles	1842.020US1	4535
70648 7590 09/16/2010 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/WMS GAMING P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER KIM, KEVIN Y	
			ART UNIT 3714	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 09/16/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com
request@slwip.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/788,903	Applicant(s) GENTLES ET AL.	
	Examiner KEVIN Y. KIM	Art Unit 3714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Claims 1-8, 10-14, 16, 18, 21-24, 26-35, and 37-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto (US 6,916,247) in view of Day II (US 2002/0046260 A1).
3. Re claim 1, Gatto discloses a system providing a gaming network environment, the system comprising:

a plurality of gaming machines (figure 1) communicably coupled to a gaming network (figure 1, 102), wherein Response to a wager at a gaming machine of the plurality of gaming machines the gaming machine depicts indicia representative of a randomly selected outcome of a wagering game (column 5, lines 37-60, games of chance are well known to contain randomly selected outcomes, such as the results of a slot machine); and

at least one service provider communicably coupled to the gaming network, said service provider operable to provide a service to one or more of the plurality of gaming machines (figure 19, 112, column 15, lines 57-60);

an agent communicably coupled to the gaming network (column 15, lines 53-56, the UDDI node must communicate with the network in order for the node to receive data from service providers regarding their services), the agent operable to:

receive service information from the service provider (column 15, lines 57-58);

Art Unit: 3714

wherein the gaming machine issues a request for the location of the service to the discovery agent and use the service information received from the agent to issue a registration request to register the gaming machine with the service (column 17, lines 40-43); and

wherein the service provider is operable to:

receive a registration request for the service from the gaming machine (column 17, lines 40-43). As the gaming machines are each connected to and associated with the server, it is a well known feature in the art that there are communications between two network entities which could be considered "registration requests," e.g. ACK-NACK signals, lease requests for an IP address, etc. Thus, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill that such a gaming machine being connected to and associated with the gaming server requires a registration request. Furthermore, reference is made to "service requesters" (column 15, lines 57-58). Thus, the service provider receives the request.

receive a request for the service (see above); and

respond to the request for the service, said registration request, request for the service and response formed using internetworking protocols (column 2, lines 55-58).

However, Gatto is silent on determining if the service provider is authentic and authorized for the gaming network, and a discovery service being operable to do the authentication and authorization. Day teaches a discovery agent (figure 2, 202) which works in conjunction with a communication, simple file transfer, and remote execution service. All services are in communication with each other and include features such as

Art Unit: 3714

authorization services (paragraphs [0052], [0055], and [0058]-[0059]). Since all the services put together make up the network management service (paragraph [0052]), the discovery agent thus includes authorization/authentication services. These services authorize/authenticate files to be executed (paragraph [0055]). These files are provided by a service (figure 9, server provides to client), and thus, the network management service is authorizing a service provided by a service provider.

Furthermore, if the service provider is determined to be authentic and authorized, service information is published to a repository to make the service available on the network (paragraph [0055]). After authorization, execution of the applications may be transferred and executed on a remote computer, i.e. published to a repository and made available.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the authorization process of Day with the gaming methods of Gatto in order to prevent unauthorized access by malicious users and/or viruses while providing the predictable result of authorizing and authenticating files/services.

4. Re claim 2, Gatto discloses a web services provider and the internetworking protocols comprise web services internetworking protocols (column 15, lines 50-56, column 16, lines 15-22).

5. Re claim 4, Gatto discloses a boot service (figure 18: boot and game software image, column 4, lines 60-65 and column 18, lines 39-54).

6. Re claim 5, Gatto discloses a gaming management service (column 19, lines 37-38).

Art Unit: 3714

7. Re claim 6, Gatto discloses providing configuration data (column 3, lines 15-20 and column 7, lines 7-9).
8. Re claim 7, Gatto discloses an accounting service (column 10, lines 36-38).
9. Re claim 8, Gatto discloses an authentication service (column 10, lines 55-60, column 16, lines 28-33).
10. Re claim 11, Gatto discloses an event management service (column 2, lines 42-45 and column 11, lines 44-48).
11. Re claim 12, Gatto discloses a gaming software update service (column 15, lines 20-30).
12. Re claim 13, Gatto discloses a message director service (column 15, lines 63-67).
13. Re claim 14, Gatto discloses a content integrity service (column 10, lines 59-60 and column 16, lines 43-45).
14. Re claim 16, Gatto discloses a mobile gaming device location service (column 2, lines 53-54; if the gaming device is mobile, its location needs to be determined in order for the network to communicate with it).
15. Re claim 18, Gatto discloses a player tracking service (column 6, lines 4-44).
16. Re claim 21, Gatto discloses a cashless transaction service (column 9, lines 47-50).
17. Re claim 22, Gatto discloses a bonusing service (column 11, lines 14-16).
18. Re claim 23, Gatto discloses a game outcome service (column 10, lines 33-35; a win is a game outcome).

Art Unit: 3714

19. Re claim 24, Gatto discloses an advertising service (column 6, lines 6-7).
20. Re claim 26, Gatto discloses a services description language protocol layer (column 15, lines 52-54).
21. Re claim 27, Gatto discloses the services description language protocol layer is a version of the WSDL web services description language protocol (column 15, lines 52-54).
22. Re claim 28, Gatto discloses a service discovery protocol layer (column 16, lines 17-18 and column 15, lines 52-54).
23. Re claim 29, Gatto discloses the UDDI protocol layer (column 15, lines 52-54).
24. Re claims 30 and 37, see rejection for claim 1. As disclosed by the applicant, claims 30 and 37 recite similar elements to claim 1.
25. Re claims 31 and 38, Gatto discloses a web service (column 15, lines 50-56 and column 16, lines 15-22).
26. Re claims 32 and 39, Gatto discloses using a service description language (column 15, lines 52-54).
27. Re claims 33 and 40, Gatto discloses WSDL (column 15, lines 52-54).
28. Re claims 34 and 41, Gatto discloses publishing the service, including registering the service with a registry (column 15, lines 60-67).
29. Re claims 35 and 42, Gatto discloses a UDDI registry (column 15, lines 60-67).
30. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto and Day in view of Barnes (US 2003/0065805).

Gatto has been discussed above, but fails to disclose the mobile gaming device location service being a GPS based service. Barnes teaches a GPS based service in a mobile gaming device (paragraphs [0097] and [0439]). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include a GPS based service in order to locate the position of the gaming device so that a user can gamble in a jurisdiction where gambling is legal.

31. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto and Day in view of Murata (US 2002/0013174).

Gatto has been discussed above, but is silent on a personalization service. Murata teaches such a service (paragraph [0047]). Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include the personalization service in order to customize the game playing experience and enhance user interactivity.

32. Claims 36 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto and Day in view of Barnes.

Gatto has been discussed above, but does not teach the service being located with a URL. Barnes teaches a service that is located using a URL (paragraph [0082]). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include a URL in order to locate the service on the internet. Furthermore, it is well

Art Unit: 3714

known in the art that services on the internet are accessed by their URL through a web browser.

33. Claims 9, 15, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto and Day in view of Wynn (US 5,971,271).

34. Re claim 9, Gatto has been discussed, but is silent on the service comprising an authorization service. Wynn discloses such a service (column 7, lines 30-35). Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to implement an authorization service in order to give the player an incentive to join the casino as a member in order to use the casino's services.

35. Re claim 15, Gatto discloses the above except for a progressive gaming service, which Wynn teaches (column 1, lines 45-50). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the progressive gaming service in order to provide an incentive for the player to play at progressive slot machines for a chance at a large jackpot.

36. Re claim 25, Gatto discloses the invention except for the service comprising a property management service. Wynn discloses this limitation (column 2, lines 35-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the aforementioned limitation in order to make it more convenient for the player to make reservations from the gaming machine, allowing more play at the gaming machine while reducing interruptions.

Art Unit: 3714

37. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto and Day in view of Weiss (US 2007/0060381).

Gatto discloses much of the invention, but is silent on a game theme location service. Weiss teaches this service (abstract and paragraphs [0007], [0011], and [0026]). Thus, one skilled in the art would find it obvious at the time the invention was made to include a game theme location service in order to locate and play a game with a particular theme, being an obvious design choice yielding predictable results.

Response to Arguments

38. Applicant's arguments, filed 6/22/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of the claim(s) under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The limitations not addressed in previous office actions have been addressed. It is also agreed that Gatto does not teach a discovery agent. Day has remained cited to teach this missing limitation. With respect to Gatto on pages 15-16, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Because the network management service includes a discovery service, this means that the network management service serves as a discovery service as well. Simply because it is a separate and discrete component of the network management service does not render it incapable of functioning as a discovery service. Finally, as the service provider is providing the executable to be authorized, the service provider is being authenticated.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN Y. KIM whose telephone number is (571)270-3215. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. Y. K./
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/David L Lewis/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714